



City of Perth

**Design Advisory Committee  
Minutes**

**26 July 2018  
10.00am**

**Committee Room 1  
Level 9  
Council House**

**APPROVED FOR RELEASE**

-----  
**ROBERT MIANICH  
DIRECTOR CORPORATE SERVICES**



City of Perth

**Design Advisory Committee  
Minutes**

**26 July 2018  
10.00am**

**Committee Room 1  
Level 9  
Council House**

Minutes to be certified at the next Design Advisory Committee meeting.

**THESE MINUTES ARE HEREBY CERTIFIED AS  
CERTIFIED**

**PRESIDING MEMBER'S SIGNATURE**

-----

**DATE:-----**

Minutes of the Design Advisory Committee meeting of the City of Perth held in Committee Room 1, Ninth Floor, Council House, 27 St Georges Terrace, Perth on **Thursday, 26 July 2018.**

**Members in Attendance:**

Mr Karotkin	Presiding Member
Ms Barrenger	
Mr Brookfield	
Mr Hobbs	(Departed 11.03am)
Mr Kerr	(Departed 12.22pm)
Mr MacKay	(Arrived 10.06am)
Mr Warn	(Arrived 10.09am)

**Officers:**

Ms Smith	Manager Development Approvals
Mr Smith	City Architect
Mr Gericke	Principal Statutory Planner
Mr Colalillo	Senior Planning Officer
Mr Fotev	3D Model Coordinator
Mr Ridgwell	Manager Governance (Departed 11.00am)
Mr Corke	Project Coordinator (Departed 11.00am)
Ms O'Brien	Paralegal (Departed 11.00am)
Ms Obern	Administration Officer (Departed 11.00am)
Ms Rutigliano	Governance Officer (Departed 11.00am)
Ms Phillips	Governance Officer

**Guests and Deputations:**

Mr Douglas	McLeods Barristers & Solicitors
Mr Smyth	Christou Design Group
Mr Boon	Christou Design Group

**1. Declaration of Opening**

**10.03am** The Presiding Member declared the meeting open.

**2. Apologies and Members on Leave of Absence**

Mr Ciemitis – apology  
Ms Crook – apology  
Mr Pullyblank - apology

**3. Confirmation of Minutes – 3 May 2018**

*Moved Mr Kerr, seconded Mr Warn*

*That the minutes of the meeting of the Design Advisory Committee held on 3 May 2018 be confirmed as a true and correct record.*

*The motion was put and carried*

The votes were recorded as follows:

**For:** Ms Barrenger, Mr Brookfield, Mr Karotkin, Mr Kerr and Mr Warn

**Against:** Nil

**4. Correspondence**

Nil

**10.06am** Mr MacKay and Mr Hobbs entered the meeting.

**5. Disclosure of Interest Information Session**

**10.06am** Mr Douglas commenced the Information Session (Attachment 5A) and provided a brief overview of the Disclosure of Interest regulations. Mr Douglas then answered questions from the Design Advisory Committee.

**10.45am** Manager Governance departed the meeting.

**10.58am** Manager Governance entered the meeting.

**10.59am** The information session concluded.

**11.00am** Mr Douglas, Manager Governance, Project Coordinator, Paralegal, Governance Officer (Ms Rutigliano), and Administration Officer departed the meeting and did not return.

## 6. Disclosures of Members' Interests

Member/Officer	Item No.	Item Title.	Nature/Extent of Interest
Mr Warren Kerr (CM 185756/18)	7.1	44 (Lots 6 and 7) Mounts Bay Road, Crawley – Expansion of St George’s College Including the Addition of 45 Student Accommodation Rooms, Administration Offices, Communal Facilities and Car Parking Area	<b>Nature:</b> Impartiality Interest <b>Extent:</b> St Georges College is situated opposite University of Western Australia (UWA). Mr Kerr is a member of the Senate of UWA.

**11.03am** Mr Hobbs departed the meeting and did not return.

## 7. Briefings and Deputations

**PRESENTATION:** **Agenda Item 7.1** – 44 (Lots 6 and 7) Mounts Bay Road, Crawley – Expansion of St George’s College Including the Addition of 45 Student Accommodation Rooms, Administration Offices, Communal Facilities and Car Parking Area

**11.05am** The Senior Planning Officer commenced the presentation and provided a brief overview of the proposed development application. The Senior Planning Officer and City Architect then answered questions from the Design Advisory Committee.

**11.07am** The presentation concluded.

**11.07am** Senior Planning Officer left the meeting and returned.

**DEPUTATION:** **Agenda Item 7.1** – 44 (Lots 6 and 7) Mounts Bay Road, Crawley – Expansion of St George’s College Including the Addition of 45 Student Accommodation Rooms, Administration Offices, Communal Facilities and Car Parking Area

**11.08am** Mr Smyth and Mr Boon commenced the deputation and provided a brief overview of the proposed development application. Mr Smyth and Mr Boon then answered questions from the Design Advisory Committee.

**11.54am** The deputation concluded.

## 7. Reports

**Item 7.1 44 (Lots 6 And 7) Mounts Bay Road, Crawley – Expansion of St George’s College Including the Addition of 45 Student Accommodation Rooms, Administration Offices, Communal Facilities and Car Parking Area**

*Moved Mr Warn, seconded Mr MacKay*

*That the Design Advisory Committee having considered the design for the proposed additions to St George’s College including 45 student accommodation rooms, administration offices, communal facilities and a car parking area at 44 (Lots 6 and 7) Mounts Bay Road, Crawley, considers that the general arrangement and overall form of the proposed additions is satisfactory but that the lack of resolution for the project is of concern, in particular:*

- 1. the design of the courtyard roof is critical to the overall success of the project. While it is noted that the roof is to be constructed at a later stage of the development, it is preferable that the design details (incorporating the structure, drainage, lighting and maintenance access) be provided as part of this application to ensure the overall design is fully resolved, rather than being addressed as a separate application. The courtyard roof as currently shown lacks detail and is not supported in its current form;*
- 2. the proposed additions are in danger of dominating the original heritage building and the selection of materials requires care and attention and evidence of sufficient detailing to deliver a quality outcome for the college. A consistent approach is required to successfully provide a quality ‘austere’ design and it is considered that the addition of copper elements and the like do not add value;*
- 3. the advice provided to the applicant by their heritage consultant not to use red brick is noted, however, the Committee considers that red brick would be more appropriate than the proposed grey concrete block work and cement render finish to preserve the prominence of the main building. The block work base with rendered walls above does not reference materiality or form of other buildings on the site, and the proposed materials give the appearance of ‘under-funding’ considering the importance of the site;*

*(Cont’d)*

4. *the entry sequence to the proposed new building could be confusing with the point of entry needing to be more clearly expressed. Consider how the planting, ramps and steps designs can be refined to better express the space between the new and existing buildings as the main entry point;*
5. *the neighbourliness of the materials and fenestration of the western elevation requires review;*
6. *the proposed new landscaping beds should be at-grade, low level and simple in their design to complement the existing landscaping and to open up the view to the courtyard; and*
7. *The quality and design of pavement in the courtyard is critical and requires further consideration.*

*The motion was put and carried*

The votes were recorded as follows:

**For:** Ms Barrenger, Mr Brookfield, Mr Karotkin, Mr Kerr, Mr MacKay and Mr Warn

**Against:** Nil

**8. Motions of which previous notice has been given**

Nil

**9. General Business**

9.1 Responses to General Business from a Previous Meeting

Disclosures of Interest

The Committee requested that Governance amend the Disclosure of Interest form to a version suitable for the Committee's use.

9.2 New General Business

Nil

**9. Items for consideration at a future meeting**

**Outstanding Items:**


Nil

**12.22pm** Mr Kerr departed the meeting and did not return.

**10. Closure**

**12.24pm** The Presiding Member declared the meeting closed.





---

City of Perth  
Design Advisory Committee

**Conflicts of Interest**

**26 July 2018**

**Neil Douglas  
Partner  
McLeod's Lawyers**

# Major issues

1. Identify any conflict of interest
2. What to do when there is a conflict of interest

# Conflict of interest

- Actual or perceived conflict of interest between –
  - personal interest; and
  - impartial exercise of a public function

# Key concepts

- Public functions
  - includes duties and powers
- Impartial
  - on merits
  - without fear or favour
  - no bias
- Perceived
  - reasonable, informed bystander

# Types of 'personal interests'

- Financial interest
- proximity interest
- Relationships and associations

# Managing a conflict of interest

- Different rules for different categories
- Legislative requirements: LG Act
  - financial interests (Council members, employees and contractors)
  - proximity interests (as above)
  - Gifts (Council members and 'designated' employees)
  - Annual returns (as above)
- Legislative requirements: LG (RoC) Regs:
  - impartiality interests (Council members only)
- CoP Code of Conduct
  - Applies to Council members, 'external members' and employees

# CoP Code of Conduct

- Obligations to –
  - know the rules
  - identify and make timely disclosure of relevant interests
  - written disclosure to CEO of any impartiality interest before dealing with the matter
  - refrain from dealing with the matter unless authorised



---

McLEODS  
BARRISTERS & SOLICITORS

---

